

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/073,596	05/06/1998	RALPH M. STEINMAN	20164000US5	9977
7590 10/15/2003			EXAMINER	
ANN-LOUISE KERNER PHD HALE AND DORR LLP		EWOLDT, GERALD R		
60 STATE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BOSTON, MA	02109		1644	

DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/073,596

Steinman et al.

Examiner

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1644



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Theref rejection allowa	EPLY FILED <u>Aug 14, 2003</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. fore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final on under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for nce; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	THE PERIOD FOR REPLY [check only a) or b)]
a)	The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
exte app set	ensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate ension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The propriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the liling date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. 🛭	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>Aug 14, 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. X	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) [	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
_	they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
ſ	NOTE: <u>Proposed amendment to claims is improper.</u> All claims have not been accounted for: e.g., 96 and 98 (withdrawn) or 97 (canceled) etc.
3. □	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
. 🗀	
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. 🗆	The a) $\square$ affidavit, b) $\square$ exhibit, or c) $\square$ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. 🗆	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. 💢	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) $\overline{\mathbb{X}}$ will not be entered or b) $\overline{\mathbb{X}}$ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed: none
	Claim(s) objected to: none
	Claim(s) rejected: 84, 89, 91, 92, 94, 95, 99, 101, and 103-120
в. 🗆	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: $82$ , $85$ - $88$ , $90$ , $93$ , $96$ , $98$ , $100$ , and $102$ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ approved or b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
	Other:  ART UNIT 1644